



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : G01N 33/00, 33/564, 33/567, 33/53, C12Q 1/68, 1/28		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/65341 (43) International Publication Date: 2 November 2000 (02.11.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/10971 (22) International Filing Date: 21 April 2000 (21.04.00) (30) Priority Data: 60/130,603 22 April 1999 (22.04.99) US (63) Related by Continuation (CON) or Continuation-in-Part (CIP) to Earlier Application US 60/130,603 (CON) Filed on 22 April 1999 (22.04.99) (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): MAYO FOUNDATION FOR MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH [US/US]; 200 First Street Southwest, Rochester, MN 55905 (US). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): PONIKAU, Jens [DE/US]; 232 Sixth Avenue S.E., Rochester, MN 55904 (US). SHERIS, David [US/US]; ** (US). KERN, Eugene [US/US]; * (US).		(74) Agent: ELLINGER, Mark, S.; Fish & Richardson P.C., P.A., Suite 3300, 60 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402 (US). (81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.	
(54) Title: EOSINOPHIL DEGRANULATING CONDITIONS			
(57) Abstract <p>The invention provides methods and materials related to the diagnosis of eosinophil degranulating conditions. Specifically, the invention provides methods and materials that involve visual types of analysis (e.g., microscopic analysis) that are used to determine the presence or absence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure within a mucus sample collected from a mammal. The presence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure within a patient's mucus indicates that the patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. In addition, the invention provides methods and materials that involve immunological types of analysis (e.g., immunoassays) that are used to determine if a patient's mucus contains a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content that is outside the eosinophil granule and within the mucus. Like the presence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure, the presence of a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content outside the eosinophil granule and within the mucus indicates that the patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. Further, the invention provides diagnostic kits that can be used to determine whether or not a patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition.</p>			

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LJ	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## EOSINOPHIL DEGRANULATING CONDITIONS

### BACKGROUND

#### *1. Technical Field*

The invention relates to methods and materials involved in the diagnosis of  
5 eosinophil degranulating conditions.

#### *2. Background Information*

Mucositis, the inflammation of mucosal tissue, is a serious medical problem that affects millions of people worldwide. For example, conservative estimates indicate that between 20 to 40 million Americans suffer from chronic rhinosinusitis,  
10 an inflammation of the nasal cavity and/or paranasal sinuses. In addition, chronic rhinosinusitis has been estimated to account for up to 90 percent of all cases of rhinosinusitis with acute rhinosinusitis (e.g., allergic rhinitis) accounting for the remaining 10 percent. While it is known that large numbers of eosinophils infiltrate the nasal tissue in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis as well as in patients with  
15 allergic rhinitis, the pathophysiology of these and other mucositis conditions remains unknown.

### SUMMARY

The invention involves methods and materials related to the diagnosis of eosinophil degranulating conditions. Specifically, the invention provides methods  
20 that allow clinicians to determine whether or not a patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. These methods involve visual types of analysis (e.g., microscopic analysis) that are used to determine the presence or absence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure within a mucus sample collected from a mammal. The presence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure within a  
25 patient's mucus indicates that the patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. In addition, the invention provides methods that involve immunological types of analysis (e.g., immunoassays) that are used to determine if a patient's mucus contains a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content that is outside the eosinophil granule and within the mucus. Like the presence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil

granule structure, the presence of a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content outside the eosinophil granule and within the mucus indicates that the patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. The invention also provides diagnostic kits that allow clinicians to determine whether or not a patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. Specifically, these kits contain a mucus collecting device and a fixative such that mucus samples can be analyzed microscopically. In addition, kits are provided that contain a binding reagent (e.g., an antibody) having binding specificity for an eosinophil granule molecule and a mucus collecting device. Additionally, kits are provided that contain a binding reagent having binding specificity for an eosinophil granule molecule and a mucolytic agent.

In one aspect, the invention features a method for diagnosing an eosinophil degranulating condition within mucus of a patient. The method includes providing a mucus sample from the patient, and determining whether or not the sample contains a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure. The presence of the structure indicates that the patient has the eosinophil degranulating condition. The condition can be a non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition such as non-invasive fungus-induced rhinosinusitis, non-invasive fungus-induced otitis media, and/or non-invasive fungus-induced bowel disease. The condition can be an asthma condition such as an asthma condition that is responsive to antifungal treatment. The condition can be chronic, and the patient can be a human or some other mammalian species (e.g., dog, cat, horse, etc.). The sample can include nasal mucus, middle ear mucus, bowel mucus, and/or sputum. The determining step can include microscopic analysis (e.g., electron microscopy).

In another embodiment, the invention features a method for diagnosing an eosinophil degranulating condition within mucus of a patient. The method includes providing a sample of mucus from the patient, and examining the sample to determine if mucus of the patient contains a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content that is outside the eosinophil granule. The tissue-damaging amount of the content outside the granule indicates that the patient has the eosinophil degranulating condition. The condition can be a non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition such as non-invasive fungus-induced rhinosinusitis, non-invasive fungus-induced

otitis media, and/or non-invasive fungus-induced bowel disease. The condition can be an asthma condition such as an asthma condition that is responsive to antifungal treatment. The condition can be chronic, and the patient can be a human or some other mammalian species (e.g., dog, cat, horse, etc.). The sample can include nasal  
5 mucus, middle ear mucus, bowel mucus, and/or sputum. The content can contain major basic protein. The determining step can include an immunological analysis. For example, the immunological analysis can include (a) contacting the sample with a capture antibody to form a molecule-antibody complex where the content contains a molecule, and the capture antibody has specificity for the molecule, (b) determining  
10 the amount of the complex, where the amount of the complex indicates the amount of the content outside the granule, and (c) determining if the amount of the content outside the granule is the tissue-damaging amount.

In another aspect, the invention features a diagnostic kit containing an antibody and a mucolytic agent (e.g., N-acetyl-L-cysteine, dithiothreitol, and  
15 recombinant human DNase). The antibody has specificity for a molecule from an eosinophil granule. The molecule can be major basic protein.

In another embodiment, the invention features a diagnostic kit containing an antibody and a mucus collector. The antibody has specificity for a molecule from an eosinophil granule. The molecule can be major basic protein. The mucus collector  
20 can be a brush, spatula, forceps, suction device, and/or suction bulb.

Another embodiment of the invention features a diagnostic kit containing a mucus collector, fixative, and instructions. The instructions indicate that the mucus collector is used to collect a sample of mucus from a patient, and that the fixative is used to fix the sample such that the sample can be examined to determine whether or  
25 not the patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition within mucus. The fixative can include Trump's fixative. The mucus collector can be a brush, spatula, forceps, suction device, and/or suction bulb.

Unless otherwise defined, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to  
30 which this invention belongs. Although methods and materials similar or equivalent

to those described herein can be used in the practice or testing of the present invention, suitable methods and materials are described below. All publications, patent applications, patents, and other references mentioned herein are incorporated by reference in their entirety. In case of conflict, the present specification, including  
5 definitions, will control. In addition, the materials, methods, and examples are illustrative only and not intended to be limiting.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description, and from the claims.

#### DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

10        Figure 1 is transmission electron microscope (TEM) micrograph depicting an eosinophil from a blood sample.

Figure 2 is a TEM micrograph depicting T cells and eosinophils within tissue.

Figure 3 is a TEM micrograph depicting an eosinophil within tissue.

15        Figure 4 is a TEM micrograph depicting an eosinophil about to migrate between epithelial cells.

Figure 5 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from an allergic rhinitis patient depicting eosinophils within mucus.

Figure 6 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from an allergic rhinitis patient depicting the remains of a degenerating eosinophils within mucus.

20        Figure 7 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from an allergic rhinitis patient depicting eosinophil granules of a degenerating eosinophil within mucus.

Figure 8 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from an allergic rhinitis patient depicting an eosinophil granule of a degenerating eosinophil within mucus.

25        Figure 9 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from an allergic rhinitis patient depicting major basic protein (MBP) immunostaining of a degenerating eosinophil within mucus.

Figure 10 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting eosinophils within mucus.

Figure 11 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting eosinophil granules of an eosinophil within mucus.

Figure 12 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting a liquefying crystalloid core within an eosinophil granule of an eosinophil within mucus.

Figure 13 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting a degranulating eosinophil granule of an eosinophil within mucus.

Figure 14 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure.

Figure 15 is a diagram extrapolated from a TEM micrograph depicting the crystalloid core of a granule from an eosinophil within tissue.

Figure 16 is a diagram extrapolated from a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting a liquefying crystalloid core of a granule from an eosinophil within mucus.

Figure 17 is a diagram extrapolated from a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting the degranulation of a granule from an eosinophil within mucus.

Figure 18 is a TEM micrograph of a mucus sample from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient depicting MBP immunostaining of degranulating eosinophils within mucus.

Figure 19 is a graph plotting the amount of MBP (ng/mL) measured in mucus samples collected from the sinus and nasal cavities of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) patients and from the nasal cavities of normal controls.

Figure 20 is a graph plotting the amount of MBP (ng/mL) measured in mucus samples collected from allergic rhinitis patients and normal controls by nasal lavage.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The invention involves methods and materials related to the diagnosis of eosinophil degranulating conditions. Specifically, the invention provides methods to

determine whether or not a patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. Such methods involve visual types of analysis (e.g., microscopic analysis) that are used to determine the presence or absence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure within a mucus sample collected from a mammal. The presence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure within a patient's mucus indicates that the patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. The term "eosinophil degranulating condition" as used herein refers to conditions within a mammal's mucus that are characterized by the existence of degranulating eosinophils. Such conditions include, without limitation, non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis conditions and asthma conditions.

A non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis is defined as an inflammation of any mucosal tissue induced by a non-invasive fungal organism. Examples of mucosal tissue include, without limitation, the mucosa of the mouth, gut, nasal passages, paranasal sinuses, airways of the lung, trachea, middle ear, eustachian tube, vagina, and urethra. In general, an inflammation of a mucosal tissue can be determined using any of the methods commonly known to a skilled artisan. For example, an individual can be identified as having an inflammation of a mucosal tissue upon examination of a tissue biopsy as well as by visual examination, endoscopic analysis, and image analysis techniques (e.g., X-rays, CT scans, and magnetic resonance imagery (MRI) scans) since the various inflamed mucosal anatomies tend to exhibit observable abnormal characteristics. Examples of non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis conditions include, without limitation, non-invasive fungus-induced rhinosinusitis, non-invasive fungus-induced otitis media, and non-invasive fungus-induced bowel disease (e.g., Crohn's disease and colitis).

Asthma can be characterized by a paradoxical narrowing of the bronchi (lung passageways) such that breathing becomes difficult. Individuals suffering from asthma can exhibit symptoms such as wheezing, difficulty breathing (particularly exhaling air), dyspnea, and tightness in the chest. Factors that can exacerbate asthma include rapid changes in temperature or humidity, allergies, upper respiratory infections, exercise, stress, and smoking. Individuals suffering from asthma can be identified using any of the known methods in the art. In general, asthma can be,



without limitation, diagnosed objectively with a pulmonary function test (increased airway resistance) with or without provoking the airway (e.g., methacholine challenge test), chest X-rays, and auscultation of the chest. Examples of asthma conditions include, without limitation, asthma conditions that are responsive to antifungal  
5 treatment.

As described herein, a sample of mucus is collected from a patient and analyzed to determine whether or not the patient's mucus contains a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure. In general, mucus can be collected from any mucosal tissue by using a collection solution to flush the mucus-containing cavity. Proper  
10 mucus collection techniques should maximize recovery of a mucus-containing collection solution by allowing sufficient penetration of the appropriate anatomic cavities and by minimizing collection solution absorption by the individual. Vasoconstrictor agents can be used to maximize mucus collection and mucolytic agents can be used to dissolve obstructive mucus such that collection solution  
15 penetration is enhanced.

Before collecting a mucus sample, an individual can be treated with a vasoconstrictor agent and/or a mucolytic agent such that sufficient vasoconstriction and/or mucolytic action is induced in the appropriate region. Suitable vasoconstrictor agents can include, without limitation, phenylephrine hydrochloride  
20 (NEO-SYNEPHRINE®; Sanofi Pharmaceuticals), cocaine, and epinephrine. A mucolytic agent is any agent that liquefies mucus such that it can be recovered from the patient. Suitable mucolytic agents can include, without limitation, N-acetyl-L-cysteine (MUCOSIL™; Dey Laboratories) and recombinant human DNase (PULMOZYME®; Genentech, Inc.). Any administered vasoconstrictor agent  
25 or mucolytic agent should be allowed to take effect by waiting a sufficient period of time after administration such as about two to five minutes.

The following methods and materials can be used to collect a nasal-paranasal mucus sample. First, an individual is prepared to receive a collection solution in at least one nostril or nasal-paranasal cavity by directing the individual to inhale and to  
30 lower the chin, or in some other way constrict the access of fluids out of the mouth

- and down the esophagus. In a vertically sitting or standing individual, these maneuvers tend to minimize the loss or ingestion of the collection solution. Other maneuvers are also possible provided this goal is achieved. Second, an injection and collection system is configured. In general, the configuration is such that a collection
- 5 solution can be administered to an individual's nostril and then efficiently collected in a container. The injection system can be, without limitation, a syringe with a curved blunt needle or tube assembly. The container can be any type of container that holds liquid. In addition, the container can be, without limitation, a storage container that is suitable for use as a transporter or sealable apparatus such that the collected sample
- 10 can be handled or shipped. These containers also can contain an agent such as a preservative or antibacterial agent depending upon the desired use of the mucus sample. Third, a collection solution is administered into an individual's nostril and collected. Before administration, the individual can be instructed to expel the collection solution upon sensing the fluid in the nasal-paranasal anatomy.
- 15 Alternatively, the individual can be instructed to expel the collection solution simultaneously with the administration. During administration, the collection solution can be forcibly injected into at least one nostril or side of the nasal-paranasal anatomy. The volume of the collection solution can vary according to the individual and the state of the mucositis. For example, fluid volumes can be, without limitation,
- 20 between about 0.1 mL to about 100 mL or more, and specifically between about 0.1 mL and about 25 mL. The collection solution can be, without limitation, a saline solution, water, and any other suitable solution appropriate for contacting mucosal tissue. In addition, the collection solution can contain other agents that may be useful for the collection of mucus such as a mucolytic agent.
- 25 One goal of a collection solution is to dislodge and remove mucus within mucus-containing cavities. In addition to a collection solution acting as a natural flushing agent, the penetrating effect of a mucolytic agent within a collection solution can help liquefy thick obstructive mucus. Further, the combination of the force of administration with the near simultaneous pressurized expulsion by an individual can
- 30 help dislodge and collect mucus. Typically, a collection solution can be administered during a period of less than about five seconds per side. In addition, a collection

solution can be administered during a period of less than about three seconds.

Alternatively, the time period of collection solution administration can be extend beyond five seconds depending on specific factors such as the degree of inflammation, the presence of obstructions, and the size of the individual. In addition, an administration greater than five second can be used when very small volumes or streams of collection solution are desired.

Other collection procedures also can be used to collect mucus samples, particularly if an individual is unable to comply or cope with a liquid collection procedure. Such additional procedures are well known in the art and include, without limitation, the surgical removal of mucus, a swab or mechanical mucus extraction procedure, and pressure or vacuum systems that extract mucus. In addition, these other collection procedures as well as the methods and materials described herein can be modified or adapted to obtain biological fluids from other areas of the body such as the middle ear and intestines.

Once collected, the mucus sample can be analyzed to determine whether or not the patient's mucus contains a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure. Typically, the mucus sample is examined by microscopy such as transmission electron microscopy (TEM). When in blood, eosinophils appear healthy and contain granules with crystalloid cores (Figure 1). During mucositis conditions, eosinophils accumulate within the localized area of inflammation. To accumulate within a localized area, the eosinophils exit the blood stream and enter the tissue. Figure 2 depicts several eosinophils within a tissue section as well as four T cells surrounding a blood vessel. Like blood eosinophils, eosinophils within tissue appear healthy and contain granules with crystalloid cores (Figure 3). It is noted that a few eosinophils within tissue may degenerate. These degenerating eosinophils within tissue, however, rarely release their granule content. Once within tissue, eosinophils migrate toward the epithelial layer (Figure 4). At the epithelial layer, the eosinophils leave the tissue and enter the mucus by migrating between epithelial cells, resulting in the accumulation of eosinophils within the mucus of patients with a mucositis condition.

In allergic rhinitis, the eosinophils within mucus degenerate without degranulating (Figures 5-9). Figure 5 depicts several eosinophils within a mucus sample collected from an allergic rhinitis patient. Each eosinophil is at a different stage of degeneration; however, none of the eosinophil granules have degranulated. Instead, the granule membrane of each granule remains completely intact, while the granule contents dissolve and the plasma membrane disappears (Figure 6). Specifically, the crystalloid core loses its density, and the granule contents appear to dissolve from the inside to the outside without being released (Figures 7 and 8). These degenerating eosinophils within mucus contain immunoreactive MBP within their granules (Figure 9).

In chronic rhinosinusitis, the eosinophils within mucus degranulate (Figures 10-18). Figure 10 depicts several eosinophils within a mucus sample collected from a chronic rhinosinusitis patient. Each eosinophil contains many degranulated granules. Degranulated eosinophil granules were found to have a very striking horseshoe-shaped structure (Figure 11). Before degranulating, the crystalloid core becomes diminished. The diminished crystalloid core is not dissolving as observed in allergic rhinitis patients, but rather the core appears to liquefy while the surrounding matrix remains intact (Figure 12). This liquefied core then is released from the granule once the granule membrane opens (Figure 13). The remaining eosinophil granule structure resembles a horseshoe (Figure 14). This process of degranulation, which was observed to occur within eosinophils within the mucus of chronic rhinosinusitis patients and not allergic rhinitis patients, can be schematically depicted as shown in Figures 15-17. These degranulating eosinophils within mucus have released immunoreactive MBP that is observed both within the cell as well as outside the cell (Figure 18).

As described herein, a mucus sample can be collected from a patient such as a patient with a mucositis condition, and that sample can be examined microscopically to determine whether or not horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structures are present. Again, the vast majority of eosinophil granules degranulate in eosinophil degranulating conditions such as chronic rhinosinusitis while few, if any, eosinophils degranulate in mucositis conditions such as allergic rhinitis. Any visual type of

analysis can be used to identify horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structures including, without limitation, laser microscopy, electron microscopy, and high-powered light microscopy.

The invention also provides methods for diagnosing an eosinophil degranulating condition that involve immunological types of analysis (e.g., immunoassays) that are used to determine the presence or absence of a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content that is outside the eosinophil granule and within the mucus. Like the presence of a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure, the presence of a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content that is outside the eosinophil granule and within the mucus indicates that the patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition. The term "tissue-damaging amount" as used herein refers to the amount of granule content that, when in free form, causes damage to the epithelial cells within the body anatomy from which the mucus was collected. For the purpose of this invention, granule content is considered to be in free form once released from an eosinophil granule. The term "granule content" as used herein refers to any molecule contained within an eosinophil granule such as eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), eosinophil peroxidase (EPO), and major basic protein (MBP). As described herein, MBP appears to be the primary mediator of tissue damage in eosinophil degranulating conditions such as chronic rhinosinusitis, while other molecules such as EPO are also present within eosinophil granules. Thus, the amount of, for example, EPO can be measured to determine whether or not a particular person's mucus contains a tissue-damaging amount of granule content realizing that MBP, and not necessarily EPO, may be directly causing tissue damage.

In general, the amount of eosinophil granule content within the nasal/paranasal mucus of chronic rhinosinusitis patients is a tissue-damaging amount, while the amount within the nasal/paranasal mucus of allergic rhinitis patients is not. It is noted that the mucus from allergic rhinitis patients can contain an equivalent number of eosinophils as observed within the mucus from chronic rhinosinusitis patients. However, the eosinophil granule content within mucus from allergic rhinitis patients is contained within granules and not found within mucus in a free form. Thus, any method that can distinguish between free eosinophil granule content and granule

content within a granule membrane can be used to diagnose eosinophil degranulating conditions. Such methods include, without limitation, immunological assays that use a binding agent (e.g., antibody, receptor, ligand, etc.) to detect free eosinophil granule content. Such binding agents include, without limitation, antibodies having

5 specificity for MBP, EPO, and ECP. It will be appreciated that one skilled in the art could follow the teachings provided herein to devise a number of different immunological assays to detect eosinophil granule content in free form. For example, an anti-MBP antibody can be immobilized to a solid support, a mucus sample can be applied to the immobilized antibody such that any free MBP is captured, and a second

10 labeled anti-MBP antibody can be used to detect any captured MBP. In these types of immunological assays, a simple color reaction can be used to identify mucus samples containing tissue-damaging amounts of free eosinophil granule content.

The invention also provides diagnostic kits that can be used to determine whether or not a patient has an eosinophil degranulation condition. Such kits can

15 contain a mucus collecting device and a fixative such that mucus samples can be analyzed microscopically. The term "mucus-collecting device" as used herein refers to any type of device that can be used to collect mucus from a patient. For example, a brush, spatula, forceps, suction device, or suction bulb can be used to collect mucus from a patient. The term "fixative" as used herein refers to compositions that can be

20 used to prepare mucus samples for microscopic analysis. For example, Trump's fixative can be used to prepare mucus samples for TEM analysis.

In addition, other diagnostic kits can contain a binding reagent (e.g., an antibody) having binding specificity for an eosinophil granule molecule and a mucus-collecting device. Such diagnostic kits can be used to determine the presence or

25 absence of a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content that is outside the eosinophil granule and within the patient's mucus. The term "binding agent" as used herein refers to any molecule that has a binding specificity for another particular molecule. For example, a binding agent can be an antibody, receptor, ligand, or lectin. Other diagnostic kits can contain a binding reagent having binding specificity

30 for an eosinophil granule molecule and a mucolytic agent. A mucolytic agent is any

agent that can be used to dissolve mucus including, without limitation, N-acetyl-L-cysteine, recombinant human DNase, and dithiothreitol.

It will be appreciated that one skilled in the art could follow the teachings provided herein to devise various kits for the visual or immunological detection of eosinophil degranulating conditions. For example, immunological-based diagnostic kits can contain wash solutions, detection antibodies such that a color reaction indicates positive mucus samples, and the like.

The invention will be further described in the following examples, which do not limit the scope of the invention described in the claims.

10

### EXAMPLES

#### Example 1 - Chronic rhinosinusitis patients have an eosinophil degranulating condition

Tissue from chronic rhinosinusitis patients was examined using electron microscopy (n=9) and hematoxylin/eosin (H&E) staining (n=101). In these tissues, a large number of eosinophils were found migrating through the epithelium into the mucus. In every case, the examination of surgical waste from chronic rhinosinusitis patients revealed that the eosinophils were completely intact while migrating through the epithelium. Once outside the tissue and within the mucus, the eosinophils were found clustered around fungal organisms. Degranulation of the eosinophils was clearly observed by the marked deposition of free MBP around degenerating fungi. Immuno-histochemistry staining and immuno-electronmicroscopy of samples from chronic rhinosinusitis patients revealed that the MBP was located within intact cells and/or intact free granules while the eosinophils were within the tissue, but was set free in large amounts when the eosinophils were within the mucus.

While the eosinophils are within tissue, MBP was found to be located in the crystalloid core of eosinophil secondary granules. In contrast, the core was found to be liquefied in the eosinophils located within mucus from chronic rhinosinusitis patients. In addition, the MBP was found to be released through an opening in the granule membrane. As described herein, the release of MBP within mucus results in the destruction of not only fungal organisms but also the nasal mucosa. The

examination of the structure of eosinophils within mucus revealed that the empty granules exhibit a "horseshoe" appearance. These horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structures indicative of empty granules were present in every extramucosal eosinophil examined from patients with chronic rhinosinusitis.

5                    Example 2 - Allergic rhinitis patients do not have an eosinophil degranulating condition

Tissue from allergic rhinitis patients also was examined using immuno-histochemistry staining and immuno-electronmicroscopy. In this study, the samples were taken from patients who had a positive history for allergic rhinitis as well as an elevated level of both total and specific IgE. In these allergic rhinitis patients, MBP was found to be located within intact eosinophils while within the tissue. In contrast to the eosinophil degranulation observed within the mucus of chronic rhinosinusitis patients, electron microscopy revealed that eosinophils did not degranulate within the mucus of allergic rhinitis patients (n=3). In fact, the granule membrane and core membrane remained intact while the cellular membrane was completely destroyed. These observations indicate that the eosinophil, through the release of a granule polypeptide MBP, induces inflammation and damage in chronic rhinosinusitis, but not in allergic rhinitis.

Example 3 - Sample size needed for statistical significance

20            A power analysis determining the number of patients necessary to show statistical significance between two groups of patients was performed. Given the conservative assumption that eosinophils degranulate in 82.8 percent or more in the chronic rhinosinusitis group and degranulate in 36 percent or less in the allergic rhinitis group (odds ration 2.3:1 or higher), an 80 percent power was obtained with a probability of a type 1 error rate of 0.05. Thus, the number of patients needed for each group to demonstrate statistical significance between the two groups was found to be ten.

Example 4 - Study demonstrating statistical significance of diagnosis

Ten patients with the clinical diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis and ten patients with the clinical diagnosis of allergic rhinitis are randomly selected to enter



the study. The clinical diagnosis of allergic rhinitis is established by history, where the patients describe the typical signs of nose itching, sneezing, and clear rhinorrhea, and exhibit a positive skin test for allergy. The clinical diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis is established with a history of recurrent upper respiratory infections  
5 lasting for longer than three months and is confirmed with a routine coronal CT that demonstrates inflammatory mucosal thickening.

Each nostril is flushed with 20 mL of saline using a syringe with a curved blunt needle. The patient is instructed to take a deep breath and sneeze out the fluid while flushing. The return is collected and placed into centrifuge tubes with Trump's  
10 solution. The patient's clinic number is entered in a database together with the clinical diagnosis and a reference number. The centrifuge tubes are marked with the reference number, and sent directly to an electron microscopy (EM) laboratory.

Mucus is fixed in Trump's fixative (1% glutaraldehyde and 4% formaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2) as described elsewhere (McDowell and Trump,  
15 *Arch. Path. Lab. Med.* 100: 405-414 (1976)). The specimen then are rinsed for 30 minutes in three changes of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) followed by a one hour postfix in phosphate-buffered 1% OsO<sub>4</sub>. After rinsing in three changes of distilled water for 30 minutes, the tissue is stained *en bloc* with 2% uranyl acetate for 30 minutes at 60°C. After *en bloc* staining, the tissue is rinsed in three changes of  
20 distilled water, dehydrated in progressive concentrations of ethanol and 100% propylene oxide, and embedded in Spurr's resin (Spurr, *J. Ultrastruct. Res.* 26:31-43 (1969)). Thin (90 nm) sections are cut on a Reichert Ultracut E ultramicrotome, placed on 200 mesh copper grids, and stained with lead citrate. Micrographs are taken on a JEOL 1200 EXII operating at 60KV.

25 In a blinded fashion, clinicians are to examine carefully all the eosinophils in two randomly selected gridfields per patient under the EM, paying special attention to the granule morphology and granule crystalloid core in which the MBP is located. They are to study the morphology of the granules and determine the percent of cells containing either completely intact granule membranes or granule membranes having  
30 a horseshoe shape. The horseshoe shape granule membrane is observed when the

granule is emptied and the MBP core has been released. Once all specimen are examined, the diagnosis is correlated with the EM findings.

#### Example 5 – MBP immunoradiometric assay

##### Chloramine-T method for radiolabeling

- 5 In this technique, the oxidant chloramine T was added to a solution containing the antibody and  $I^{125}$ . The reaction was stopped by adding a reducing agent, sodium metabisulfite. The labeled antibodies were then separated from the free  $I^{125}$  and reducing agent by gel filtration chromatography. The reagents were: Chloramine-T; Sodium metabisulfite; 0.5 M  $K_2HPO_4$ - $KH_2PO_4$  buffer, pH 7.5; PPF-E buffer, AG1 -
- 10 X4 Anion exchange resin (50400 mesh); and  $I^{125}$ .

##### Reagent Preparation:

##### 0.5M $PO_4$ buffer pH 7.5

	$Na_2HPO_4$ (0.5 M x 16 L x 142g/M)	1136 g
15	$KH_2PO_4$ (0.5 M x 3 L x 136.1 g/M)	204.1 g
	$NaN_3$	160 mL

The base was titrated with the acid to pH 7.5 (about 2 L of  $KH_2PO_4$ ).

##### PPF-E buffer pH 7.5

Stored at 0°C

	Defined bovine serum	5 mL
20	10% $NaN_3$	10 mL
	0.1 M EDTA pH 7.5	100 mL
	Protamine Sulfate	1 g
	Distilled $H_2O$	685 mL

- 25 The Protamine sulfate was added slowly to prevent clumping, and the resulting solution mixed well.

The AG1-X4 Anion exchange resin was saturated with 0.2 M  $PO_4$  buffer and stored at 0°C.

**Chloramine-T (1 mg/mL)**

10 mg of Chloramine-T was weigh out and transferred to a 15 mL graduated screw top test tub. Then, 10 mL of 0.5 M PO<sub>4</sub> buffer was added just before reacting  
5 the polypeptide with the I<sup>125</sup>.

**Sodium metabisulfite (1 mg/mL)**

10 mg of sodium metabisulfite was weigh out and transferred to a 15 mL graduated screw top test tube. Then, 10 mL of the 0.5 M PO<sub>4</sub> buffer was added just  
10 before reacting the polypeptide with the I<sup>125</sup>.

**Procedure:****A. The AG1-X4 column was prepared.**

1. mount a 3 mL syringe barrel on a ring stand
- 15 2. pack a small amount of glass wool in the bottom
3. pipet about 3 mL of the exchange resin into the column
4. rinse with 15 mL of PPF-E buffer
5. parafilm the top of the column

**B. The Chloramine-T and Sodium metabisulfite was weighed.**

- 20 **C. The radiation cooler was packed with the equipment and the prepared reagents.**

1. Chloramine-T and Sodium metabisulfite
2. AG1-X4 column
3. PPF-E buffer, about 30 mL
- 25 4. 0.5 M PO<sub>4</sub> buffer pH 7.5, about 30 mL
5. polypeptide to be labeled (keep on ice)

6. small beaker of ice
7. 10 numbered 12 x 75 mm test tubes in a rack (with caps)
8. brinkman 1.5 mL vial for labeling reaction
9. data sheet and/or notebook
- 5 10. plastic pasture pipets and adjustable pipetman
11. small lead pig

D. The radiolabeling was performed.

- 1 Dilute Chloramine-T and Sodium metabisulfite to 1 mg/mL with 0.5 PO<sub>4</sub> buffer and mix well
- 10 2. Set up equipment in the radiation safety hood: column, test tubes, reaction vial, buffers, etc.
3. Pipet 25  $\mu$ L of the PO<sub>4</sub> buffer, and 5  $\mu$ L of I<sup>125</sup> (450-550  $\mu$ Ci, adjust if needed) into the reaction vial
4. Record the counts in the reaction vial
- 15 5. Add 10-30  $\mu$ L of polypeptide to be labeled
6. Add 10  $\mu$ L Chloramine-T solution and allow to react for 45 seconds mixing gently using a 100  $\mu$ L pipet and tip (save this tip)
7. Next add 25  $\mu$ L Sodium metabisulfite solution
8. Transfer contents of the reaction vial to the column, rinse vial with
- 20 PPF-E and add the rinses to the column (save vial and tip to count later)
9. Collect fractions into the labeled tubes, rinsing with PPF-E buffer
- tube 1 about 1 mL
- tube 2 about 2 mL (this fraction contains most of the polypeptide peak)
- tubes 3-10 about 1 mL
- 25 10. Count and record  $\mu$ Ci of each fraction, tips, pasture pipet, and reaction vial

**Calculations:**

Calculate the total amount of polypeptide in the peak saved and the  $\mu\text{Ci}$  of  $\text{I}^{125}$  incorporated ( $\mu\text{Ci}/\mu\text{g}$ ).

- 5           Major Basic Protein (MBP) of the eosinophil can be measured using an immunoradiometric assay. According to this procedure, the antigen to be assayed (MBP), was bound by a specific monoclonal antibody immobilized on a solid phase. In the second step, the amount of antigen bound was measured by its reaction with another monoclonal antibody of the same specificity radiolabelled with  $\text{I}^{125}$ . The
- 10   radioactivity counted is proportional to the amount of MBP bound by the first antibody.

**Materials:**

- NaCl, certified
- 15           Trizma Base, reagent grade
- Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, reagent grade
- KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, reagent grade
- Protamine Sulfate, grade x
- EDTA, certified, free acid
- 20           Dithiothreitol, store desiccated, at 4°C.
- Iodoacetamide, store desiccated, at 4°C
- TWEEN 20
- Defined Bovine Serum
- NaN<sub>3</sub>
- 25           Chloramine-T, Eastman Kodak
- Sodium Metabisulfite, A.C.S.
- KCl, A.C.S.

**Reagent Preparation:****1. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 10X**

	KCl	4 g
5	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	4 g
	Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	22.9 g
	NaCl	160 g

Dissolve in 2 liters distilled H<sub>2</sub>O. Dilute this 1:10 with distilled H<sub>2</sub>O for a working buffer concentration of 1X.

**10            2. EDTA buffer 0.1 M pH 7.5            Stored at 0°C**

37.2 g EDTA disodium salt (FW=372.25) dissolved in 1 liter distilled water.

**3. Tris-EDTA buffer pH 8.1            Stored at 0°C**

	NaCl	8.78 g
15	EDTA	3.72 g
	Trizma base	39.96 g

Dilute to slightly less than 1 liter with distilled water and pH to 8.1. Check the pH again the next day. Add distilled water to one liter.

**4. PPF-E buffer pH 7.5            Stored at 0°C**

20	Defined bovine serum	5 mL
	10% NaN <sub>3</sub>	10 mL
	0.1 M EDTA pH 7.5	100 mL
	Protamine Sulfate	1 g
	Distilled H <sub>2</sub> O	685 mL

25            Mix well using a magnetic stir plate. Best if Protamine Sulfate is added slowly to avoid clumping.

## 5. Washing buffer 14 L

	0.5 M PO <sub>4</sub>	290 mL
	TWEEN 20	147 mL
5	Distilled H <sub>2</sub> O	11600 mL

6. 0.5 M PO<sub>4</sub> buffer pH7.5 Conductivity approximately 33,000

Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (0.5 M x 16 L x 142 g/M)	1136 g
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (0.5 M x 3 L x 136.1 g/M)	204.1 g
NaN <sub>3</sub>	160 mL

10 Titrate the base with the acid to pH7.5 (about 2 L of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)

## 7. Dithiothreitol 0.1 M solution in Tris-EDTA

Weigh out only enough DTT for one assay. It cannot be stored, so must be made fresh each time

## 8. Iodoacetamide 0.02 M solution in Tris-EDTA

15 Weigh out only enough for one assay. It must be made fresh each time.

9. 10% NaN<sub>3</sub>

10 g dissolved in 100 mL distilled H<sub>2</sub>O

## Calibration

20 Standard Preparation: The standard was pooled serum from patients with Hypereosinophilic syndrome that has been calibrated against purified, reduced, and alkylated MBP. A minimum of ten assays were performed using a MBP curve and several dilutions of the HES pool. The data from these assays were compiled and a derived value assigned to the HES pool in ng/mL. The standard curve for MBP was as follows:

A frozen aliquot of MBP of known concentration was thawed and diluted to 512 ng/mL in PPF-E buffer. Subsequent standard curve points were made as follows:

256 ng/mL 0.5 mL of 512 ng/ml + 0.5 mL of PPF-E buffer

128 ng/ml 0.5mL of 256 ng/ml + 0.5mL of PPF-E buffer

64 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 128 ng/ml + 0.5mL of PPF-E buffer

32 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 64ng/ml + 0.5ml of PPF-E buffer

5 16 ng/mL 0.5 mL of 32 ng/ml + 0.5mL of PPF-E buffer

8 ng/mL 0.5 mL of 16 ng/ml + 0.5mL of PPF-E buffer

4 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 8 ng/ml + 0.5mL of PPF-E buffer

2 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 4 ng/ml + 0.5 mL of PPF-E buffer

1 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 2 ng/mL + 0.5 mL of PPF-E buffer

10 The calibrated HES pool was used as the standard for all test assays. It must first be reduced and alkylated (as do all samples) and the dilution of this step calculated in the final dilution for curve point; i.e., the calibrated concentration of HES pool was 22, 000 ng/mL. 22,000/4.4 (the dilution factor of the reduction and alkylation) gives a final concentration of 5000 ng/mL. This is further diluted for a  
15 curve point of 256 ng/mL. The standard curve dilution was as such:

128.0 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 256 ng/ml + 0.5 mL of PPF-E buffer

64.0 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 128 ng/ml + 0.5 mL of PPF-E buffer

51.2 ng/ml 100 ul of 256 ng/ml + 400 ul of PPF-E buffer

32.0 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 64 ng/ml + 0.5 mL of PPF-E buffer

20 25.6 ng/ml 50 ul of 256 ng/ml + 450 ul of PPF-E buffer

16.0 ng/ml 0.5 mL of 32 ng/ml + 0.5mL of PPF-E buffer

8.0 ng/ml 0.5mL of 16ng/ml + 0.5mL of PPF-E buffer

4.0 ng/ml 0.5mL of 8ng/ml + 0.5ml of PPF-E buffer



### Quality Control

Normal control: serum from a normal patient

High control: pooled serum from HES patients not included in the standard HES pool.

- 5            Large batches of each control were collected and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  in 74  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots. A normal control and high control were included in every assay at two dilutions each. A 1:5 and 1:50 dilution for the normal control and a 1:100 and 1:200 dilution for the high control was used. All dilutions were made in PPF-E buffer after the samples were reduced and alkylated. Control data was collected over several
- 10           assays; and mean, range, and standard deviation information were calculated. These values were readjusted from time to time. If both controls were out of range, the assay was repeated.

### Quantitative Testing Procedure:

- 15           A. Prepare enough of the capture monoclonal antibody (J146B6 stored at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  in PBS with 1%  $\text{NaN}_3$ ) to coat Immulon 4 removable strips for the entire assay.
1. Coating concentration is 10  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  in PBS. Add 100  $\mu\text{L/well}$ .
  2. Allow strips to shake gently at room temperature on a mini shaker for two hours or overnight at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ .
  - 20           3. Aspirate dry and add 100  $\mu\text{L/well}$  of the PPF-E buffer, shake one hour at room temperature. At this step the strips may be stored covered and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  to be used at a later time.
- B. Sample preparation: reduction and alkylation
1. Label 10x75mm glass test tubes; one for each sample, controls and standard
  - 25           HES serum pool.
  2. Pipette 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of each sample, control, and standard HES pool into the above labeled tubes.
  3. Next add 130  $\mu\text{L}$  of the Tris-EDTA buffer, pH8 to each tube.

4. Add 20  $\mu$ L of a freshly prepared 0.1 M DTT solution.
5. Vortex briefly and incubate at room temperature for one hour.
6. Next add 20  $\mu$ L of a freshly prepared 0.02 M Iodoacetamide solution.
7. Vortex briefly, after 20 minutes, the reaction should be completed.
- 5      8. During incubation periods, prepare 10x75mm glass test tubes for dilutions of the reduced and alkylated samples, controls, and standard HES curve.
9. Dilute the reduced and alkylated samples, controls, and standard HES curve in PPF-E buffer.
- C. Aspirate PPF-E buffer from the wells.
- 10      D. In duplicate, pipette prepared standard curve, diluted controls and samples at 100  $\mu$ L/well
1. Every 96 well plate should include a duplicate blank (PPF-E), normal and high controls.
2. The standard curve is included on every other 96 well plate and each point
- 15      in duplicate.
- E. Shake gently at room temperature for one and a half hours or longer.
- F. Aspirate wells dry and wash five times with the washing buffer. A plate washing apparatus works well for this.
- G. Add 100  $\mu$ L/well of prepared  $I^{125}$  radiolabelled monoclonal antibody.
- 20      1. J14-8A2 is radiolabelled with  $I^{125}$  using the Chloramine-T method
2. Dilute the radiolabelled antibody to a working concentration of 50 ng/mL in PPF-E buffer. Do this in the hood.
- H. Shake gently for one and a half hour or longer at room temperature. It may go overnight at 4°C.
- 25      I. Remove radiolabel by aspirating into a radioactive waste container in the hood.
- J. Wash wells five times with the washing buffer.

K. Count wells in a gamma scintillation counter for one minute.

**Radiometric Measurements:**

A. A gamma scintillation counter for  $I^{125}$  is used according to the manufacturer.

- 5        B. A protocol is used to count and convert the counts per minute data into number values.

**Data Calculation:**

- 10       A. Curve fit is defined by polynomials joined at standard points using a smoothing factor. Criteria imposing only one inflection point and no extreme values for the standard curve points.

B. A worklist is entered for each assay. This includes the sample identification and the dilution factor of that sample or control.

- 15       C. Controls and samples are read off the standard curve and multiplied by the appropriate dilution factor. Duplicate points are calculated separately and then resulting values averaged.

**Reporting Results:**

- 20       A. Assay is valid if standard curve meets instrument parameters and if controls are within the standard deviation limits established.

B. If the counts per minute of any sample should fall above the second highest standard curve point, that sample must be repeated at a higher dilution.

- 25       C. If the counts per minute of any sample should fall below the lower point of the standard curve, that sample must be repeated at a lower dilution or no dilution, whatever is most likely to put that sample within the best fit of the standard curve.

D. Normal values and ranges are included.

E. Samples are reported in ng/ml without a decimal point.

F. Values which are below the standard curve, without dilution, are reported as below detection or less than 45 ng/mL.

Example 6 - Chronic rhinosinusitis patients have an eosinophil degranulating condition as determined by an immunoassay

5 Mucus samples collected from chronic rhinosinusitis patients and normal controls were examined using the MBP assay described in Example 5. It is noted that other MBP assays can be used such as those described elsewhere (Wassom *et al.*, *Molec. Immunol.* 16:711-719 (1979); Frigas *et al.*, *Mayo Clin. Proc.*, 56:345-353 (1981); and Wasmoen *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.*, 170:2051-2063(1989)). First, direct  
10 suctioning was used to collect mucus samples from the nasal cavities and sinus cavities of chronic rhinosinusitis patients as well as from the nasal cavities of normal controls. The minimum amount of mucus sample collected from each patient was about 0.5 mL. Once collected, an equal volume of 0.15 M NaCl was added to each sample. The resulting solution was then gently mixed with a vortex mixer for no  
15 more than 30 seconds. Once mixed, the samples were centrifuged at 40,000\*g for 20 minutes. After centrifugation, the supernatants were collected, reduced with dithiothreitol, and alkylated with iodoacetamide. Reduction and alkylation helps prevent polymerization as well as the binding of eosinophilic granular polypeptides to other polypeptides. Total eosinophil counts were determined for each specimen after  
20 initial collection and after the indicated processing to assure that iatrogenic lysis of eosinophils was not occurring. The amount of MBP in each patient's mucus sample was assessed using the MBP assay described in Example 5.

Mucus samples collected from chronic rhinosinusitis patients contained much more detectable MBP than mucus samples collected from normal controls (Figure  
25 19). Specifically, the average amount of MBP detected in mucus samples collected from the sinus cavities of chronic rhinosinusitis patients was about 4.4 µg/mL. Likewise, the average amount of MBP detected in mucus samples collected from the nasal cavities of chronic rhinosinusitis patients was about 4.1 µg/mL. These results indicate that the high levels of MBP found to exist in the mucus of chronic  
30 rhinosinusitis patients can be detected in mucus collected from both nasal and sinus cavities. The average amount of MBP detected in mucus samples collected from the

nasal cavities of normal controls was less than 45 ng/mL. In fact, the amount of MBP detected in the mucus collected from normal controls was below detection in most cases. These results confirm that the amount of MBP within an individual's mucus can be used to identify the existence of chronic rhinosinusitis.

5                    Example 7 - Allergic rhinitis patients do not have an eosinophil  
                      degranulating condition as determined by an immunoassay

Mucus samples collected from allergic rhinitis patients and normal controls by nasal lavage were examined using the MBP assay described in Example 5. The nasal lavage was performed with the individual's head tilted back by instilling about 5 mL  
10 of normal saline into one nostril followed by bringing the head forward to collect the fluid in a 150 mL beaker. The individual then blew air out of the nostril to collect the maximum amount of fluid. This procedure was performed twice in each nostril using a total of about 20 mL of normal saline. The samples were placed on ice and processed within 2 hours. To process, the nasal lavage fluid was passed through a 42  
15 µm nylon mesh filter (Nitex<sup>®</sup>, Tetko<sup>®</sup> Inc., Briarcliff Manor, NY) into a 50 mL conical Sarstedt<sup>®</sup> tube (Sarstedt, Newton, NC), and the filtrate centrifuged at 420\*g for 12 minutes at 4°C. After centrifugation, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet resuspended in 100 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). A portion of the supernatant was stored at -20°C in vials, while the remainder was concentrated using  
20 Centriprep<sup>®</sup> concentrators (Amicon, Beverly, MA) having a molecular weight cutoff of 3,000 Daltons. To prevent surface adsorption of the nasal lavage polypeptides, the Centriprep<sup>®</sup> concentrators were first treated with 3 mL of 0.2% human serum albumin (HSA) and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 400\*g. After decanting the HSA, the Centriprep<sup>®</sup> was washed with PBS and centrifuged for an additional 10 minutes at  
25 400\*g. After removing the PBS, the nasal lavage fluid supernatant was added to the Centriprep<sup>®</sup> concentrator and centrifuged for about 1 to 2 hours at 400\*g until about a 10X concentration was achieved. The concentrated fluid was stored at -20°C. The MBP assay described in Example 5 was used to assess the amount of MBP in the concentrated fluid. To reflect the value of the unconcentrated fluid, each result was  
30 divided by ten.

The amount of MBP detected in mucus samples collected from allergic rhinitis patients and normal controls via nasal lavage was below detection (Figure 20).

Specifically, the average amount of MBP detected in nasal lavage mucus samples from each group was less than 45 ng/mL. These results when compared to the results obtained in Example 5 confirm that the amount of MBP within an individual's mucus can be used to distinguish patients having allergic rhinitis and chronic rhinosinusitis. Chronic rhinosinusitis patients have high levels of MBP within their nasal and sinus mucus while allergic rhinitis patients have low levels of MBP, if any, within their nasal and sinus mucus.

10

#### OTHER EMBODIMENTS

It is to be understood that while the invention has been described in conjunction with the detailed description thereof, the foregoing description is intended to illustrate and not limit the scope of the invention, which is defined by the scope of the appended claims. Other aspects, advantages, and modifications are within the scope of the following claims.

15

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

1. A method for diagnosing an eosinophil degranulating condition within mucus of a patient, said method comprising:
  - a) providing a mucus sample from said patient, and
  - 5 b) determining whether or not said sample contains a horseshoe-shaped eosinophil granule structure, wherein the presence of said structure indicates that said patient has said eosinophil degranulating condition.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein said condition is a non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition.
- 10 3. The method of claim 2, wherein said non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition is rhinosinusitis.
4. The method of claim 2, wherein said non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition is otitis media.
5. The method of claim 2, wherein said non-invasive fungus-induced  
15 mucositis condition is a bowel disease.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein said condition is an asthma condition.
7. The method of claim 6, wherein said asthma condition is responsive to antifungal treatment.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein said condition is chronic.
- 20 9. The method of claim 1, wherein said patient is human.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein said sample comprises nasal mucus.
11. The method of claim 1, wherein said sample comprises middle ear  
mucus.
12. The method of claim 1, wherein said sample comprises bowel mucus.
- 25 13. The method of claim 1, wherein said sample comprises sputum.
14. The method of claim 1, wherein said determining step comprises microscopic analysis.

15. The method of claim 14, wherein said microscopic analysis comprises electron microscopy.

16. A method for diagnosing an eosinophil degranulating condition within mucus of a patient, said method comprising:

5 a) providing a sample of mucus from said patient, and

b) examining said sample to determine if mucus of said patient contains a tissue-damaging amount of eosinophil granule content that is outside the eosinophil granule, wherein said tissue-damaging amount of said content outside the granule indicates that said patient has said eosinophil degranulating condition.

10 17. The method of claim 16, wherein said condition is a non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein said non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition is rhinosinusitis.

15 19. The method of claim 17, wherein said non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition is otitis media.

20. The method of claim 17, wherein said non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis condition is a bowel disease.

21. The method of claim 16, wherein said condition is an asthma condition.

20 22. The method of claim 21, wherein said asthma condition is responsive to antifungal treatment.

23. The method of claim 16, wherein said condition is chronic.

24. The method of claim 16, wherein said patient is human.

25. The method of claim 16, wherein said sample comprises nasal mucus.

25 26. The method of claim 16, wherein said sample comprises middle ear mucus.

27. The method of claim 16, wherein said sample comprises bowel mucus.

28. The method of claim 16, wherein said sample comprises sputum.



29. The method of claim 16, wherein said content contains major basic protein.

30. The method of claim 16, wherein said determining step comprises an immunological analysis.

5 31. The method of claim 30, wherein said immunological analysis comprises:

a) contacting said sample with a capture antibody to form a molecule-antibody complex, wherein said content contains a molecule, and said capture antibody has specificity for said molecule,

10 b) determining the amount of said complex, wherein the amount of said complex indicates the amount of said content outside the granule, and

c) determining if the amount of said content outside the granule is said tissue-damaging amount.

15 32. A diagnostic kit comprising an antibody and a mucolytic agent, wherein said antibody has specificity for a molecule from an eosinophil granule.

33. The diagnostic kit of claim 32, wherein said molecule is major basic protein.

34. The diagnostic kit of claim 32, wherein said mucolytic agent comprises N-acetyl-L-cysteine.

20 35. The diagnostic kit of claim 32, wherein said mucolytic agent comprises dithiothreitol.

36. The diagnostic kit of claim 32, wherein said mucolytic agent comprises recombinant human DNase.

25 37. A diagnostic kit comprising an antibody and a mucus collector, wherein said antibody has specificity for a molecule from an eosinophil granule.

38. The diagnostic kit of claim 37, wherein said molecule is major basic protein.


39. The diagnostic kit of claim 38, wherein said mucus collector is selected from the group consisting of a brush, spatula, forceps, suction device, and suction bulb.

40. A diagnostic kit comprising a mucus collector, fixative, and  
5 instructions, wherein said instructions indicate that said mucus collector is used to collect a sample of mucus from a patient, and said fixative is used to fix said sample such that said sample can be examined to determine whether or not said patient has an eosinophil degranulating condition within mucus.

41. The diagnostic kit of claim 40, wherein said fixative comprises  
10 Trump's fixative.

42. The diagnostic kit of claim 40, wherein said mucus collector is selected from the group consisting of a brush, spatula, forceps, suction device, and suction bulb.

# Figure 1




2/20

Figure 2



3/20

Figure 3



4/20

Figure 4



5/20

Figure 5



6/20


Figure 6





7/20

Figure 7




8/20

Figure 8




9/20

Figure 9



10/20

Figure 10



11/20

Figure 11



12/20

Figure 12




13/20

Figure 13



14/20


Figure 14






15/20

Figure 15



16/20

Figure 16




17/20

Figure 17




18/20

Figure 18




19/20

Figure 19



20/20

Figure 20



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US97/00971

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : G01N 33/00, 33/564, 33/567, 33/53; C12Q 1/68, 1/28

US CL : 435/7.21, 7.24, 6, 28, 975; 436/86, 506

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 435/7.21, 7.24, 6, 28, 975; 436/86, 506

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

Please See Extra Sheet.

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	ERJEFALT et al. Cytolysis and piecemeal degranulation as distinct modes of activation of airway mucosal eosinophils. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. August 1998. Vol. 102. pages 286-94, see entire document.	1-42
A	KESHAVARZIAN et al. Activated Eosinophils in Familial Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis. Gastroenterology. 1985. Vol. 88. No. 4. pages 1041-1049, see entire document.	1-42



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A*	document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

30 JUNE 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

25 AUG 2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

JENNIFER GRASER

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

DIALOG, MEDLINE, EMBASE, BIOSIS, SCISEARCH, CAB ABSTRACTS, USPATFULL, AMA, LIFE SCIENCES, SCIENCE, EUROPEAN PATENTS, WEST  
search terms: eosinophil, degranulat?, mucositis, horseshoe, rhinosinusitis



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☒ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☒ **OTHER: holds between words**

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**